

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,709		10/23/2001	Yuji Saiki	04558.057001	2960
23850	7590	08/05/2003			
		ESTERMAN & HA	EXAMINER		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006				SEFER, AHMED N	
WASHING	JION, DC	20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2826	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ſ	$\overline{}$	In				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/001,709	SAIKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	A. Sefer	2826					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for R ply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered time om the mailing date of this one can be considered time.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			ne merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		l Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisiona	al application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	, , , ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No nal Patent Application (P					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Art Unit: 2826

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show a polarizer comprising a first and a second portions, an exposed adhesive layer, a reflector or transreflector, a retardation plate, a compensating film, a brightness enhancement film, and a separator as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1- 4, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishii et al. US PG-Pub No. 2003/0048396.

Art Unit: 2826

Ishii et al disclose (see fig. 1, page 1, par. 0012 and page 4, par. 0054) a polarizing plate comprising a polarizer, the polarizer comprising: a first portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 420 to 550 nm, and a second portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 550 to 700 nm, wherein the first portion and the second portion are laminated or directly laminated (as in claim 17) by an adhesive (as in claim 18).

Regarding claim 2, Ishii et al disclose (see page 3, par. 0040) the first portion and the second portion are laminated by an adhesive (unnumbered).

Regarding claim 3, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed arrangement or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Regarding claim 4, Ishii et al disclose (see page 3, par. 0040) the adhesive is a polyvinyl alcohol-based adhesive.

4. Claim 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishii et al. US PG-Pub No. 2003/0048396.

Ishii et al disclose (see fig. 1, page 1, par. 0012 and page 4, par. 0054) a liquid crystal display comprising on at least one side of a liquid crystal cell; a polarizing plate comprising a polarizer, the polarizer comprising: a first portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 420 to 550 nm, and a second portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 550 to 700

Art Unit: 2826

nm, wherein the first portion and the second portion are laminated or laminated by an adhesive(as in claim 14).

5. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 11 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kameyama et al. USPN 6,088,079.

Kameyama et al disclose (see fig. 7, col. 9, lines 1-21, col. 11, lines 28-41 and col. 14, lines 24-45) a polarizing plate comprising a polarizer, the polarizer comprising: a first portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 420 to 550 nm, and a second portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 550 to 700 nm, wherein the first portion and the second portion are laminated or directly laminated (as in claim 17) by an adhesive (as in claim 18).

Regarding claim 2, Kameyama et al disclose the first portion and the second portion are laminated by an adhesive.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, Kameyama et al disclose the adhesive is a urethane-based adhesive or pressure-sensitive adhesive (as in claim 6).

Regarding claims 3 and 7, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed arrangement or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Regarding claim 8, Kameyama et al disclose (see col. 11, lines 14-20) the first portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 420 to 550 nm and the second portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each

Art Unit: 2826

wavelength of light for wavelengths of 550 to 700 nm are laminated so that the absorption axes are disposed in parallel to each other.

Regarding claim 10, Kameyama et al disclose a retardation plate or a  $\lambda$  plate 2 attached to the polarizing plate 3.

Regarding claim 11, Kameyama et al disclose (see col. 10, lines 14-25) viewing angle compensating film attached to the polarizing plate.

Regarding claim 16, Kameyama et al disclose (see col. 15, lines 1-25) a separator. As for its function, a recitation of an intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

6. Claim 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kameyama et al. USPN 6,088,079

Kameyama et al disclose (see fig. 7, col. 9, lines 1-21, col. 11, lines 28-41 and col. 14, lines 24-45) a liquid crystal display comprising on at least one side of a liquid crystal cell; a polarizing plate comprising a polarizer, the polarizer comprising: a first portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 420 to 550 nm, and a second portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 550 to 700 nm, wherein the first portion and the second portion are laminated or laminated by an adhesive (as in claim 14) or pressure-sensitive adhesive (as in claim 15).

Art Unit: 2826

7. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ozeki et al. USPN 6,498,633.

Ozeki et al disclose (see fig. 1, and Table 1) a polarizing plate comprising a polarizer, the polarizer comprising: a first portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 420 to 550 nm, and a second portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 550 to 700 nm, wherein the first portion and the second portion are laminated.

As for claim 9, Ozeki et al disclose (see col. 7, lines 54-57) a reflector or a transreflector attached to the polarizing plate.

8. Claims 1, 2 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamamoto et al. US PG-Pub No. 2003/0086170.

Hamamoto et al disclose (see page 1, par. 0022-0024, page 5, par. 0063 and abstract) a polarizing plate comprising a polarizer, the polarizer comprising: a first portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 420 to 550 nm, and a second portion having a polarization degree of 99% or more at each wavelength of light for wavelengths of 550 to 700 nm, wherein the first portion and the second portion are laminated.

Regarding claim 2, Hamamoto et al disclose the first portion and the second portion are laminated by an adhesive.

Regarding claim 10, Hamamoto et al disclose (see page 1, par. 0022) a retardation plate or a  $\lambda$  plate attached to the polarizing plate.

Art Unit: 2826

Page 7

Regarding claim 11, Hamamoto et al disclose (see page 1, par. 0023) viewing angle compensating film attached to the polarizing plate.

Regarding claim 12, Hamamoto et al disclose (see page 1, par. 0024) brightness enhancement film attached to the polarizing plate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (703) 605-1227.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601.

ANS July 26, 2003

> NATHUM J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800